

**Consultation on draft Community Safety & Crime Reduction Strategy 2017-20****Summary findings from the consultation****Methodology**

- The consultation exercise took the form of an online survey on B&H Consultation Portal; it was open between 10<sup>th</sup> Feb and 12<sup>th</sup> Mar 2017.
- We provided summary aims and plans, and a link to whole draft strategy document and the strategic assessment if people wanted to see more information.
- For each proposed priority area we asked:
  - Do you support our aims and plans
  - Is there anything we haven't considered, or do you have any further comments
- We also invited any general comments

**Respondents**

- There were 76 respondents via Consultation Portal + 1 via email = 77
  - 49 residents
  - 16 community & voluntary sector orgs
  - 9 statutory organisations
  - 2 businesses
  - 1 ward councillor
- Demographic data (not always complete) was provided by 39/48 residents:
  - age range 22-84; mean age 53.7 years (n=22)
  - gender: 23 female; 11 male; 1 non-binary; 4 prefer not to say
  - gender identity: 27 identified with the sex they were assigned at birth; 12 prefer not to say or missing
  - sexual orientation: 24 heterosexual; 3 lesbian/gay women; 1 queer; 1bisexual; 10 prefer not to say or missing
  - ethnic origin: 25 White British; 4 White other; 2 Irish; 8 prefer not to say or missing
  - religion: 14 no particular religion; 10 Christian; 2 atheist; 2 agnostic; 1 Buddhist; 2 prefer not to say or missing
  - LLTI: 24 had no long term limiting illness; 6 activities limited a little; 3 activities limited a lot; 6 prefer not to say or missing
  - 1 respondent was a carer

## Levels of support for our proposals

Table 1

Do you support our aims and plans?						
	ASB and Hate incidents	Night time economy	Violence against Women & Girls	Reducing offending	Community Collaboration	Prevent and Challenging Extremism
yes	41	22	39	19	25	18
no	2	0	0	1	5	3
don't know/unsure	8	5	4	0	10	2
<b>total respondents</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>
% who support aims and plans	80%	81%	93%	95%	63%	78%
% who do not support aims and plans	4%	0%	0%	5%	13%	13%
% who did not know or were unsure	16%	19%	10%	0%	25%	9%

- Respondents could choose which topics they wanted to look at. Table 1 presents the number of people commenting on the six draft priority areas, and shows the extent to which they report supporting the draft aims and plans.
- ASB & hate incidents received the most interest with 51 out of the 77 people responding on this topic. Reducing offending received the lowest response (20 people responding).
- In general, survey respondents supported the aims and plans in the draft strategy. Over three quarters of respondents supported the aims and plans across five of the six topics. Nearly two thirds of respondents expressed their support in the area of community collaboration and resilience.
- Between 0% and 13% of respondents did not support the aims and plans, with a maximum of 5 (13%) respondents saying that they did not support the aims and plans in the community collaboration and resilience area and 3 respondents not supporting the aims and plans in the Prevent and challenging extremism area.

## Number of respondents providing comments

Table 2

Number of respondents providing comments on each priority area						
	ASB and Hate incidents	Night time economy	Violence against Women & Girls	Reducing offending	Community Collaboration	Prevent and Challenging Extremism
residents	25	12	13	5	17	8
other respondents	3	2	10	3	5	1
<b>total respondents</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>

- ASB and hate incidents was the area which the highest number of residents responded to (25), with community collaboration and resilience receiving the next highest number (17).
- Ten respondents who were not residents commented on VAWG. The other areas received comments from fewer people who were not responding as residents.